

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DI  ARMS COMPLA	• • •	
A	IRS ID#: 0310526 DA	TE: <u>6/10/2014</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:	
FA	ACILITY NAME: JAC	CKSONVILLE PLANT			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	N: 2885 Saint Clair St	t		
		JACKSONVILLE	32254-1863		
C	WNER/AUTHORIZE Email: ONTACT NAME: D Email: dcyr@tremro. NTITLEMENT PERIO	n.com		PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: (904)359-590 Mobile:	)0
PA	Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)				
	IN COMPLIANO			NIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE
PA	ART II: <u>ONSITE INT</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING	<u>G</u>		(check ☑ only one box for each question)
	Name(s) of facili     Mr. Denis Cyr	ity representative(s): Mr. M	Michael Elliott - Environmen	tal Saftey	
	Brief Notes:				
2.	Is the Authorized Repril If no, who is?:	resentative still HUGUES C	CARON?		⊠ Yes □No
3.	If different, did the factor Is the facility contact is If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrat still DENIS CYR?	tive update within 30 days?		☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No
4.			ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?		Yes ⊠No ☐ Yes ☐No

# Emissions Unit Section 1 - Cement Silo No. 1 (SOUTH) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
	Date of last inspection: 5/17/2013  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Co	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>	☐ No
	control emissions?	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \infty Yes  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?  Yes	⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ No □ No

## Emissions Unit Section 2 – Cement Silo No. 2 (CENTER) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
	Date of last inspection: 5/17/2013  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Co	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>	☐ No
	control emissions?	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 3 - Cement Silo No. 3 (NORTH) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
	INT I. TIME REVIEW THE ENDING TO HAVE BOTTOM	
1	Date of last inspection: 5/17/2013	
	Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes	□ No
۷٠	If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	□ No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  \[ \] N/A \[ \] Yes	□ No
		L NO
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<b>T</b> T		
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Co	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
1.	emissions by:	
	Chilissions by.	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	□ No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	
	control emissions? Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
	particulate matter? Yes	□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	110
	particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	□ No
	particulate matter from stock piles:	
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ No
	or one or spray our, endie, or partial enclosure to imagate commissions at the drop point to the truck.	23 110
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	⊠ No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	☐ No
1	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	_

## Emissions Unit Section 4 –TUMBLER subject to Reasonable Precautions

<u> </u>		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 5/17/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards  1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine amissions by:	ed	
emissions by:		
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
control emissions?	- X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	- X Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	⊠ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 5 –CCB Plant-Silo #4(cement) w/silotop baghouse-90,000kg subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 5/17/2013</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
FART II: FIELD UDSERVATIONS - Rule 02-270.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop romes, Avaus, raiking Areas, Stock rices, and raius		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo		_
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ol>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	- X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	- X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	⊠ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		N M
<ul> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No □ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u> (	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation.	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propan	e/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption Yes	☐ No
<u>G</u> ]	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	
	<ul><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all</li></ul>	· U Yes	⊠ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

KI	RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one				
1.	Is the facility: stationary \( \subseteq \); relocatable \( \subseteq \); or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan		box for each	• '	
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	ow. )	Yes	☐ No	
	<ul><li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departme e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busines</li><li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N</li></ul>	s day prior to changing location?		☐ No	
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N	business days following a relocation? otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	- Yes 5)] _	□ No	
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at lea	st five business days prior to relocation?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a sep and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission		mit,		
	a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routin If YES, what was the purpose?		e)?  Yes	☐ No	
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how l co-located at the permitted facility?		\( \text{Yes}	□ No	
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration	on?	Yes	☐ No	
<u>CF</u>	HANGES		(check		
	Iministrative Changes:		box for each	i question)	
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo				
			nis or		
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor	administrative change at the facility?		⊠ No	
	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30	administrative change at the facility?	Yes	⊠ No □ No	
Ne	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 aw or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	administrative change at the facility?	Yes	= "	
Ne	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 aw or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been	administrative change at the facility? days of the change?	Yes Yes	☐ No	
Ne	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 two or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	administrative change at the facility? days of the change?		= "	
Ne	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 tw or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is	administrative change at the facility? days of the change? ment? s substantially different?		□ No □ No	
Ne	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 two or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace	administrative change at the facility? days of the change? ment? s substantially different?		□ No □ No □ No □ No	
<u>Ne</u> 3.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 tw or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is	administrative change at the facility? days of the change? ment? s substantially different? istration form and the appropriate fee sul		□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No	
<u>Ne</u> 3.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 aw or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	administrative change at the facility? days of the change? ment? s substantially different? istration form and the appropriate fee sul		No No No No No No No	
<u>Ne</u> 3.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 aw or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	administrative change at the facility? days of the change? ment? s substantially different? istration form and the appropriate fee sul		No No No No No No No	
<u>Ne</u> 3.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 aw or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	administrative change at the facility? days of the change? ment? s substantially different? istration form and the appropriate fee sul		□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No	
Ne 3.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 tw or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	administrative change at the facility?		□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No	

**COMMENTS:** Facility was in operation at the time of inspection. Plant walk through was done with Mr. Cyr - Plant Manager and Mr. Elliott - Environmental Safety. Plant produce pavers of all sorts for various applications. Facility had a Truck unloading and loading while inspection was in progress. No emissions left the area while Trucks were loading and unloading. Material usage and VE reports were reviewed. VE's were last done on August 2013 and will be done on June 12, 2014. Maintenance is done on an as needed basis. No violations were noted at the time of inspection.